1 2	JINA L. CHOI (Admitted to the New York Bar) MICHAEL S. DICKE (Cal. Bar No. 158187) SHEILA E. O'CALLAGHAN (Cal. Bar No. 131032)	
3	ocallaghans@sec.gov ROBERT J. DURHAM (Admitted to the New York Bar) durhamr@sec.gov	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
6	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, California 94104	
7	Telephone: (415) 705-2500 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRIC	CT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF O	CALIFORNIA
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION	ON
12		1
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. CV12-2663 (EJD)
14	Plaintiff,	
15	vs.	
16 17	GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, GLR ADVISORS, LLC, JOHN A. GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER A. LUCK and KEITH E. RODE	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGEMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS
18	Defendants	JOHN A. GERINGER AND GLR ADVISORS, LLC
19	and	
20	GLR GROWTH FUND, L.P.,	
21	Relief Defendant.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1

7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint and Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over them and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment as to Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC ("Final Judgment"); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (a)
- to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact (b) necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or FINAL JUDGEMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS CV12-2663 (EJD)

1	instruments of	of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails,
2	directly or in	directly:
3	(a)	to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
4	(b)	to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any
5		omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of
6		the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
7	(c)	to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would
8		operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.
9		III.
10	IT IS	HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants
11	and their age	nts, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation
12	with them wl	no receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are
13	permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Sections 206(1) or 206(2) of the Investment	
14	Advisers Act	of 1940 ("Advisers Act") [15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(l), (2)] by, while acting as an
15	investment a	dviser, using the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce:
16	(a)	employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud any client or prospective
17		client; or
18	(b)	engaging in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a
19		fraud or deceit upon any client or prospective client.
20		IV.
21	IT IS	HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and
22	their agents,	servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with
23	them who red	ceive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are
24	permanently	restrained and enjoined from violating Section 206(4) the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C.
25	§ 80b-6(4)] a	nd Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder [17 C.F.R. 275.206(4)-8] by, while acting as an investment
26	adviser, using	g the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce:
27	(a) er	ngaging in any act, practice, or course of business which is fraudulent, deceptive, or
28	m	anipulative; or

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (b) while acting as an investment adviser to a pooled investment vehicle:
  - (1) making any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, to any investor or prospective investor in the pooled investment vehicle; or
  - (2) otherwise engaging in any act, practice, or course of business that is fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative with respect to any investor or prospective investor in the pooled investment vehicle.

V.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 26 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78z] by making or causing to be made, to any prospective purchaser or seller of a security any representation that any action or failure to act by the Commission or the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in the administration of the Exchange Act shall be construed to mean that the particular authority has in any way passed upon the merits of, or given approval to, any security or any transaction or transactions therein, or any action or failure to act with regard to any statement or report filed with or examined by such authority pursuant to the Exchange Act or rules and regulations thereunder, be deemed a finding by such authority that such statement or report is true and accurate on its face or that it is not false or misleading.

VI.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants are liable, on a joint and several basis, for disgorgement of \$2,170,589, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$601,886, for a total of \$2,772,475. Defendants' payment of disgorgement and prejudgment interest shall be deemed satisfied upon the entry of an order requiring Defendant John

1	A. Geringer to pay restitution and/or forfeiture in <i>United States v. John Geringer</i> , Crim. No. 12-CR-
2	00888 EJD.
3	VII.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, solely for purposes of
5	exceptions to discharge set forth in Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523, the
6	allegations in the Complaint are true and admitted by Defendants, and further, any debt for
7	disgorgement, prejudgment interest, civil penalty or other amounts due by Defendants under this
8	Judgment or any other judgment, order, consent order, decree or settlement agreement entered in
9	connection with this proceeding, is a debt for the violation by Defendants of the federal securities
10	laws or any regulation or order issued under such laws, as set forth in Section 523(a)(19) of the
11	Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19).
12	VIII.
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Consents of Defendant
14	John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC are incorporated herein with the same force and effect as
15	if fully set forth herein, and that Defendants shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements
16	set forth therein.
17	IX.
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain
19	jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.
20	V
21	X.
22	There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil
23	Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and without further notice.
24	Dated: _February 3, 2015
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	EDWARD J. DAVILA
27	
28	

1 2 3	JINA L. CHOI (Admitted in New York) MICHAEL S. DICKE (Cal. Bar No. 158187) SHEILA E. O'CALLAGHAN (Cal. Bar No. 131032) ocallaghans@sec.gov ROBERT J. DURHAM (Admitted to the New York Bar) durhamr@sec.gov	
. 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
6 7	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 705-2500 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501	
8	1 acsimire. (413) 703-2301	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRI	CT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
11	SAN JOSE DIVISI	ON
12		I
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. CV12-2663 (EJD)
14	Plaintiff,	
15	VS.	
16 17	GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, GLR ADVISORS, LLC, JOHN A. GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER A. LUCK and KEITH E. RODE	CONSENT OF JOHN A. GERINGER
18	Defendants	
19	and	
20	GLR GROWTH FUND, L.P.,	
21	Relief Defendant.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

- 1. Defendant John A. Geringer ("Defendant") acknowledges having been served with the complaint in this action, enters a general appearance, and admits the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and over the subject matter of this action.
- 2. Defendant has pleaded guilty to criminal conduct relating to certain matters alleged in the complaint in this action. Specifically, in *United States v. John Geringer*, Crim. No. 12-CR-00888 (EJD), Defendant pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit mail and wire fraud, one count of mail fraud and one count of securities fraud. In connection with that plea, Defendant admitted the facts set out in the transcript of his plea allocution that is attached as Exhibit A to this Consent. This Consent shall remain in full force and effect regardless of the existence or outcome of any further proceedings in *United States v. John Geringer*.
- 3. Defendant hereby consents to the entry of the Final Judgment as to Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC in the form attached hereto (the "Final Judgment") and incorporated by reference herein, which, among other things:
  - (a) permanently restrains and enjoins Defendant from violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a),

    Sections 10(b) and 26 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 78z, and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, and Sections 206(1), 206(2), and 206(4) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1), 80b-6(2), and 80b-6(4), and Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8;
  - (b) orders Defendant to pay disgorgement, on a joint and several basis with GLR Advisors, LLC, in the amount of \$2,170,589, plus prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$601,886; and
  - (c) does not impose a civil monetary penalty.

- 4. Defendant waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 5. Defendant waives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Final Judgment.
- 6. Defendant enters into this Consent voluntarily and represents that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent.
- 7. Defendant agrees that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.
- 8. Defendant will not oppose the enforcement of the Final Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives any objection based thereon.
- 9. Defendant waives service of the Final Judgment and agrees that entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendant of its terms and conditions. Defendant further agrees to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty days after the Final Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that Defendant has received and read a copy of the Final Judgment.
- against Defendant in this civil proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that no promise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendant waives any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding, including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendant further acknowledges that the Court's

entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this action, Defendant understands that he shall not be permitted to contest the factual allegations of the complaint in this action.

- 11. Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegations in the complaint or order for proceedings." 17 C.F.R. § 202.5. In compliance with this policy, Defendant agrees: (i) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent, Defendant hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the complaint. If Defendant breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this paragraph affects Defendant's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.
- 12. Defendant hereby waives any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by Defendant to defend against this action. For these purposes, Defendant agrees that

1	Defendant is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith
2	settlement.
3	13. Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the Court
4	for signature and entry without further notice.
5	14. Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the
6	purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.
7	
8	Dated: 8/28/14
9	John A. Geringer
10 11	State of California
12	County of SANTA CRUZ
13	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 28 day of Agest, 2014, by John
14	A. Geringer, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before
15	me.
16	Notary Public Signature
ا 7	(Seal)
18	SAGAR M. PATEL
19	Commission # 2036590 Notary Public - California
20	Santa Cruz County My Comm. Expires Aug 11, 2017
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
23	

2	JINA L. CHOI (Admitted to the New York Bar) MICHAEL S. DICKE (Cal. Bar No. 158187) SHEILA E. O'CALLAGHAN (Cal. Bar No. 131032)	
3	ocallaghans@sec.gov ROBERT J. DURHAM (Admitted to the New York Bar) durhamr@sec.gov	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
5	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800	
6	San Francisco, California 94104	
7	Telephone: (415) 705-2500 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRI	CT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
11	SAN JOSE DIVISI	ON
12		
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. CV12-2663 (EJD)
14	Plaintiff,	
15	VS.	
16 17	GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, GLR ADVISORS, LLC, JOHN A. GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER A. LUCK and KEITH E. RODE	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGEMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS
18	Defendants	JOHN A. GERINGER AND
	Defendants	GLR ADVISORS, LLC
19	and	
20	GLR GROWTH FUND, L.P.,	
21	Relief Defendant.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		•
27		
28		

1
1

FINAL JUDGEMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS JOHN A. GERINGER AND GLR ADVISORS, LLC

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint and Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over them and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment as to Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC ("Final Judgment"); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or

CV12-2663 (EJD)

- (b) while acting as an investment adviser to a pooled investment vehicle:
  - (1) making any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, to any investor or prospective investor in the pooled investment vehicle; or
  - (2) otherwise engaging in any act, practice, or course of business that is fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative with respect to any investor or prospective investor in the pooled investment vehicle.

V.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 26 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78z] by making or causing to be made, to any prospective purchaser or seller of a security any representation that any action or failure to act by the Commission or the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in the administration of the Exchange Act shall be construed to mean that the particular authority has in any way passed upon the merits of, or given approval to, any security or any transaction or transactions therein, or any action or failure to act with regard to any statement or report filed with or examined by such authority pursuant to the Exchange Act or rules and regulations thereunder, be deemed a finding by such authority that such statement or report is true and accurate on its face or that it is not false or misleading.

VI.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants are liable, on a joint and several basis, for disgorgement of \$2,170,589, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$601,886, for a total of \$2,772,475. Defendants' payment of disgorgement and prejudgment interest shall be deemed satisfied upon the entry of an order requiring Defendant John

A. Geringer to pay restitution and/or forfeiture in *United States v. John Geringer*, Crim. No. 12-CR-1 | 00888 EJD. 2 VII. 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, solely for purposes of 4 exceptions to discharge set forth in Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523, the 5 allegations in the Complaint are true and admitted by Defendants, and further, any debt for 6 disgorgement, prejudgment interest, civil penalty or other amounts due by Defendants under this 7 Judgment or any other judgment, order, consent order, decree or settlement agreement entered in 8 connection with this proceeding, is a debt for the violation by Defendants of the federal securities 9 laws or any regulation or order issued under such laws, as set forth in Section 523(a)(19) of the 10 Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19). 11 VIII. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Consents of Defendant 13 John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC are incorporated herein with the same force and effect as 14 if fully set forth herein, and that Defendants shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements 15 set forth therein. 16 IX. 17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain 18 jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment. 19 20 X. 21 There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil 22 Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and without further notice. 23 Dated: , 2014 24 25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE** 26 27 28

## Exhibit A

1		
2 .	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	PLAINTIFF, CASE NO. CR-12-0888-EJD	
6	VS. SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	
7	JOHN GERINGER, JUNE 4, 2014	
8	DEFENDANT. PAGES 1 - 45	
9		
10		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S	
14		
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: JEFFREY SCHENK	
16	150 ALMADEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 900 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113	
17		
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: THE LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL WHELAN, JR.  BY: MICHAEL WHELAN, JR.	
19	803 MARKET STREET, NUMBER 913 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103	
20		
21	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074	
22		
23	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY, TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER.	
24		
25		
	ı	

1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA JUNE 4, 2014
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	(COURT CONVENED.)
4	THE CLERK: CALLING CASE NUMBER 12-888, UNITED
5	STATES VERSUS JOHN GERINGER.
6	MR. WHELAN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. MICHAEL
7	WHELAN FOR MR. GERINGER WHO IS PRESENT OUT OF CUSTODY.
8	THE DEFENDANT: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.
10	MR. SCHENK: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. JEFF SCHENK
11	ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES.
12	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING. THIS MATTER
13	IS ON CALENDAR THIS MORNING, COUNSEL, FOR I BELIEVE A CHANGE OF
14	PLEA?
15	MR. WHELAN: CORRECT.
16	MR. SCHENK: YES, SIR.
17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SIR. MR. GERINGER, YOUR
18	LAWYER TELLS ME THAT YOU'RE GOING TO CHANGE YOUR PLEA THIS
19	MORNING.
20	IS THAT WHAT YOU WISH TO DO, SIR?
21	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SIR, WE'LL HAVE A
23	CONVERSATION, YOU AND I WILL HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT THAT BUT
24	FIRST A COUPLE OF THINGS.
25	DURING OUR CONVERSATION IF AT ANY TIME YOU WISH TO SPEAK

PRIVATELY WITH YOUR ATTORNEY, PLEASE LET ME KNOW AND I'LL, OF 1 2 COURSE, ALLOW YOU TO DO THAT. 3 ALL RIGHT, SIR? THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR. 4 THE COURT: ALSO, SIR, IF AT ANY TIME DURING OUR 5 CONVERSATION YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND SOMETHING THAT I SAY TO YOU 6 OR YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO REPEAT MYSELF, PLEASE LET ME KNOW AND 7 I'LL BE HAPPY TO ACCOMMODATE THOSE REQUESTS AS WELL. 8 ALL RIGHT, SIR? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: AND FINALLY, SIR, IN RESPONSE TO MY 11 OUESTIONS I WOULD ASK YOU TO AND INVITE YOU TO ANSWER OUT LOUD 12 13 IN A RICH VOICE SO THE YOUNG LADY IN FRONT OF US WHO IS TRANSCRIBING THESE PROCEEDINGS CAN ACCURATELY TRANSCRIBE THESE 14 PROCEEDINGS. 15 ALL RIGHT, SIR? 16 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. IF YOU WILL NOW 18 RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND, PLEASE, OUR COURTROOM DEPUTY WILL NOW 19 20 PLACE YOU UNDER OATH. 21 (DEFENDANT WAS GIVEN THE OATH.) 22 THE DEFENDANT: YES. 23 THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. ONE FURTHER THING, YOU HAVE JUST TAKEN AN OATH TO TELL THE TRUTH. IF YOU NOW SAY 24 SOMETHING THAT IS NOT TRUE, YOU MUST UNDERSTAND THAT THE 25

4	
1	GOVERNMENT COULD ENGAGE IN A PROSECUTION AGAINST YOU FOR
2	PROVIDING FALSE INFORMATION.
3	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
4	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: NOW, FIRST OF ALL, SIR, MAY I KNOW YOUR
6	TRUE NAME?
7	THE DEFENDANT: MY NAME IS JOHN ARNOLD GERINGER.
8	THE COURT: AND HOW OLD ARE YOU, SIR?
9	THE DEFENDANT: I'M 49.
10	THE COURT: AND HOW FAR HAVE YOU GONE IN SCHOOL?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I COMPLETED A BACHELOR'S DEGREE AND
12	TWO YEARS OF AN MBA PROGRAM.
13	THE COURT: ARE YOU PRESENTLY, RIGHT NOW, UNDER THE
14	INFLUENCE OF ANY DRUG, ALCOHOL OR MEDICATION?
15	THE DEFENDANT: NO, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: HAVE YOU TAKEN ANY OF THOSE SUBSTANCES
17	WITHIN THE LAST 48 HOURS?
18	THE DEFENDANT: NO, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A CLEAR MIND THIS MORNING?
20	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: NOW, SIR, I HAVE BEFORE ME THIS DOCUMENT
22	AND IT IS 12 PAGES LONG, AND IT'S TITLED PLEA AGREEMENT. AND
23	ON PAGE 11 AT LINE 20 ABOVE YOUR PRINTED NAME THERE'S A
24	SIGNATURE IN BLACK INK.
25	IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE, SIR?

1	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THIS AGREEMENT, SIR?
3	THE DEFENDANT: I DO, YOUR HONOR.
4	THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE SUFFICIENT TIME TO READ AND
5	DISCUSS THIS PLEA AGREEMENT WITH YOUR ATTORNEY BEFORE YOU
6	SIGNED IT?
7 .	THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DID, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: AND HAS YOUR ATTORNEY BEEN ABLE TO
9	ANSWER ANY AND ALL OF THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE HAD ABOUT
10	THIS PLEA AGREEMENT?
11	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER THAT YOU WOULD
13	LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH YOUR ATTORNEY AT THIS POINT?
1.4	THE DEFENDANT: NOT AT THIS POINT, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE
16	SERVICES THAT YOUR ATTORNEY HAS PROVIDED YOU?
١7	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
L 8	THE COURT: OTHER THAN THE PROMISES THAT ARE
19	CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT, THIS PLEA AGREEMENT, HAS ANYONE
20	PROMISED YOU ANYTHING OF ANY KIND TO CAUSE YOU TO PLEAD GUILTY
21	THIS MORNING?
22	THE DEFENDANT: NO, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: DOES THIS DOCUMENT THEN REPRESENT THE
24	ENTIRE AGREEMENT THAT YOU HAVE WITH THE GOVERNMENT IN THIS
25	CASE?

1	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: AND HAS ANYONE THREATENED YOU OR ANYONE
3	CLOSE TO YOU TO CAUSE YOU TO PLEAD GUILTY THIS MORNING?
4	THE DEFENDANT: NO, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: ARE YOU DOING THIS THEN, THAT IS,
6	PLEADING GUILTY FREELY AND VOLUNTARILY OF YOUR OWN FREE WILL?
7	THE DEFENDANT: I AM, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: AND ARE YOU DOING THIS, SIR, BECAUSE IN
9	TRUTH AND, IN FACT, YOU ARE GUILTY OF THE CHARGES?
10	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: NOW, SIR, THIS PLEA AGREEMENT IS AN
12	AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO 11(C)(1)(A) AND (C)(1)(B) OF THE RULES OF
13	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.
14	THE LAWYERS HAVE REACHED SOME AGREEMENT AS TO WHAT THEY
15	BELIEVE SENTENCING PARAMETERS MIGHT BE.
16 .	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
17	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: AND THERE'S BEEN, I'M SPEAKING NOW
19	SPECIFICALLY ON PAGE 7, THE LAWYERS IN THIS PLEA AGREEMENT HAVE
20	IDENTIFIED CERTAIN AREAS THAT THE COURTS MUST CONSIDER AND THAT
21	I MUST CONSIDER.
22	THE BASE OFFENSE LEVEL IS, SPECIFIC OFFENSE
23	CHARACTERISTICS WHICH WOULD INCLUDE LOSS AMOUNTS, VICTIM,
24	NUMBER OF VICTIM ADJUSTMENTS, ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY AND
25	THESE TYPES OF THINGS.
	<b>1</b>

DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
THE COURT: NOW, ULTIMATELY THE DECISION AS TO WHAT
SENTENCE WILL ACTUALLY BE IMPOSED WILL BE MY DECISION.
DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DO.
THE COURT: AND THESE ITEMS THAT I JUST REFERENCED
ARE MERELY RECOMMENDATIONS. AND THE COURT COULD FOLLOW, AFTER
A HEARING, THE COURT WILL MAKE ITS INDEPENDENT DETERMINATION AS
TO THE APPROPRIATE SENTENCE, AND THE COURT COULD FOLLOW THE
RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS THAT THESE LAWYERS HAVE PUT
FORTH IN THIS PLEA AGREEMENT.
DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
THE DEFENDANT: YES, I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR.
THE COURT: BUT THE COURT COULD ALSO DEVIATE FROM
THOSE AND THE COURT COULD FIND THAT IN ITS OWN OPINION AND
DETERMINATION THAT A DIFFERENT SENTENCE MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE IN
THE CASE.
FOR EXAMPLE, THE COURT MIGHT FIND THAT IT'S APPROPRIATE TO
IMPOSE A SENTENCE THAT MIGHT BE GREATER THAN YOU AND YOUR
LAWYER BELIEVE IS APPROPRIATE.
DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
THE DEFENDANT: I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR.
THE COURT: IF THAT WERE TO HAPPEN, SIR, YOU WOULD
NOT, PURSUANT TO THIS PLEA AGREEMENT, YOU WOULD NOT BE

1	PERMITTED TO WITHDRAW YOUR PLEA OF GUILTY.
2	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
3	THE DEFENDANT: I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR.
4	THE COURT: NOW, SIR, IN REACHING THESE SUGGESTIONS
5	AS THE LAWYERS DID IN THIS PLEA AGREEMENT, THE LAWYERS
6	CONSULTED WITH WHAT ARE CALLED THE UNITED STATES SENTENCING
7	GUIDELINES.
8	THESE ARE NOT MANDATORY, BUT THEY MUST BE CONSULTED BY THE
9	LAWYERS AND BY THE COURT AS WELL. I MUST REFER TO THOSE.
10	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
11	THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DO, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: NOW, HAVE YOU HAD SUFFICIENT TIME TO
13	DISCUSS THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES WITH YOUR ATTORNEY AND HAS
14	YOUR ATTORNEY BEEN ABLE TO ANSWER ANY AND ALL OF THE QUESTIONS
15	THAT YOU MAY HAVE HAD ABOUT THOSE GUIDELINES AND HOW THOSE
16	GUIDELINES APPLY TO YOU AND THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF YOUR
17	CASE?
18	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL
20	QUESTIONS FOR YOUR LAWYER AT THIS TIME REGARDING THE GUIDELINES
21	AND REGARDING ANYTHING ABOUT THE ATTRIBUTES OF THIS PLEA
22	AGREEMENT?
23	THE DEFENDANT: NO, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO NOW,
25	SIR, IS TO GO OVER WITH YOU THE ELEMENTS THAT THE OF THESE

OFFENSES, THAT IS, THE ELEMENTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE 1 2 TO PROVE IN ORDER TO GAIN CONVICTION OF YOU FOR THESE OFFENSES. BEGINNING WITH COUNT 1, SIR, THE VIOLATION OF 18 UNITED 3 STATES CODE SECTION 1349, THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE 4 THE FOLLOWING THINGS BY PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT IN 5 ORDER TO GAIN CONVICTION OF YOU. 6 FIRST, THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE THAT TWO OR MORE 7 PERSONS AGREED IN SOME WAY OR MANNER TO TRY TO ACCOMPLISH A 8 . 9 COMMON AND UNLAWFUL PLAN TO COMMIT MAIL OR WIRE FRAUD AND THAT YOU KNEW THAT THE UNLAWFUL PURPOSE OF THE PLAN AND THAT YOU 10 11 WILLFULLY JOINED IN IT. THE GOVERNMENT WOULD ALSO HAVE TO PROVE THAT THIS -- ALL 12 13 OF THESE INCIDENTS AND THESE FACTS OCCURRED IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. 14 DO YOU UNDERSTAND, SIR, THE THINGS THAT THE GOVERNMENT 15 WOULD HAVE TO PROVE IN ORDER TO CONVICT YOU OF COUNT 1, A 16 VIOLATION OF 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1349? 17 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 18 THE COURT: AND DO YOU UNDERSTAND, SIR, THAT IF THE 19 GOVERNMENT FAILED IN THEIR PROOF, YOU COULD NOT BE CONVICTED OF 20 THAT OFFENSE. 21 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 22 THE DEFENDANT: I UNDERSTAND THAT. 23 THE COURT: THE MAXIMUM PENALTIES, SIR, FOR THAT 24 OFFENSE ARE AS FOLLOWS: THERE'S A MAXIMUM PRISON TERM OF 25

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	20 YEARS.
2	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
3	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
4	THE COURT: AND THERE'S A MAXIMUM FINE OF \$250,000
5	OR TWICE THE GROSS GAIN OR LOSS, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.
6	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
7	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
8	THE COURT: AND THERE'S A MAXIMUM SUPERVISED RELEASE
9	TERM OF 3 YEARS AND A MANDATORY SPECIAL ASSESSMENT OF \$100,
10	WHICH I MUST IMPOSE THE ASSESSMENT.
11	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
12	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
13	MR. WHELAN: YOUR HONOR, I THINK YOU MISSPOKE. THE
14	MINIMUM TERM IS THREE YEARS AND NOT THE MAXIMUM TERM.
15	THE COURT: IF I SAID MAXIMUM SUPERVISED RELEASE
16	TERM, I DID MISSPEAK, SIR. THE MINIMUM SUPERVISED RELEASE TERM
17	IS THREE YEARS.
18	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
19	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
20	THE COURT: AND THERE'S A MANDATORY SPECIAL
21	ASSESSMENT OF \$100.
22	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
23	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: AND, NOW, THERE COULD BE RESTITUTION IN
25	THIS CASE, SIR, AND THE COURT WOULD DETERMINE THAT RESTITUTION.

1 THERE MIGHT BE A HEARING AS TO RESTITUTION WHERE YOUR LAWYER 2 AND THE GOVERNMENT WOULD BE ABLE TO PRESENT THEIR THOUGHTS AS TO RESTITUTION AND THE COURT WOULD MAKE ITS ORDER AND 3 DETERMINATION OF RESTITUTION. 4 5 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR? 6 THE DEFENDANT: YES. 7 THE COURT: AND THERE'S ALSO THE POSSIBILITY OF FORFEITURE, THAT IS, FORFEITURE OF CERTAIN FUNDS OR PROCEEDS 8 9 THAT THE COURT WOULD FIND MIGHT BE, IF THE COURT FOUND THOSE FUNDS TO BE CRIMINAL PROCEEDS. 10 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR? 11 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 12 13 THE COURT: IN ORDER TO CONVICT YOU, SIR, OF A VIOLATION OF 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1341, THAT IS, THE 14 MAIL FRAUD SECTIONS, THE COURT -- EXCUSE ME -- THE GOVERNMENT 15 WOULD HAVE TO PROVE THE FOLLOWING THINGS BY PROOF BEYOND A 16 17 REASONABLE DOUBT: THE COURT -- THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE THAT YOU 18 KNOWINGLY MADE UP A SCHEME OR PLAN FOR OBTAINING MONEY BY 19 20 MAKING FALSE PROMISES OR STATEMENTS AND THAT YOU KNEW THAT THE 21 PROMISES OR STATEMENTS WERE FALSE; THAT THE PROMISES OR STATEMENTS WERE MATERIAL; AND THAT YOU ACTED WITH THE INTENT TO 22 23 DEFRAUD; AND THAT YOU USED OR CAUSED TO BE USED THE MAILS TO 24 CARRY OUT AN ESSENTIAL PART OF THIS SCHEME. THE GOVERNMENT WOULD ALSO HAVE TO PROVE THAT ALL OF THESE 25

1	THINGS OCCURRED IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.
2	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THEN THE THINGS THAT THE GOVERNMENT
3	WOULD HAVE TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT IN ORDER TO GAIN
4	CONVICTION OF YOU FOR THIS VIOLATION, SIR?
5	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: THE MAXIMUM PENALTIES FOR THIS VIOLATION
7	ARE AS FOLLOWS, SIR:
8	THERE'S A MAXIMUM PRISON TERM OF 20 YEARS.
9	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
10	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
11	THE COURT: AND THERE'S A MAXIMUM FINE OF \$250,000
12	OR TWICE THE GROSS GAIN OR LOSS, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.
13	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
14	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: AND THERE'S A MINIMUM SUPERVISED RELEASE
16	TERM OF THREE YEARS.
17	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
18	THE DEFENDANT: YES.
19	THE COURT: AND A MANDATORY SPECIAL ASSESSMENT OF
20	\$100 THAT I MUST IMPOSE.
21	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
22	THE DEFENDANT: YES.
23	THE COURT: AND, AGAIN, SIR, ANY RESTITUTION WOULD
24	BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT FOLLOWING A HEARING AND ALSO THERE
25	ARE FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS THAT COULD APPLY TO THIS CASE.

1 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR? THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DO. 2 THE COURT: NOW, THE ELEMENTS, THE THINGS THAT THE 3 GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT IN 4 ORDER TO GAIN CONVICTION OF YOU FOR COUNT 27, A VIOLATION OF 15 5 UNITED STATES CODE SECTIONS 78J SUBSECTION (B) AND 78FF, 17 6 C.F.R. SECTION 240.10B-5 ARE AS FOLLOWS: 8 THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT YOU KNOWINGLY USED A DEVICE OR SCHEME TO DEFRAUD 9 10 SOMEONE; THAT YOU KNEW YOUR ACTS WERE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SHARES IN THE GLR GROWTH FUND LP; THAT YOU 11 DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY USED THE MAILS IN CONNECTION WITH THESE 12 ACTS: AND THAT YOU ACTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEFRAUDING BUYERS 13 OR SELLERS OF SHARES IN THE GLR GROWTH FUND LP. 14 THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE, AGAIN, THAT ALL OF 15 THESE ACTS TOOK PLACE IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. 16 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THEN THE THINGS THAT THE GOVERNMENT 17 WOULD HAVE TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT IN ORDER TO GAIN 18 CONVICTION OF YOU FOR THIS OFFENSE? 19 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: THE MAXIMUM PENALTY FOR THIS VIOLATION, 21 22 SIR, ARE AS FOLLOWS: THERE'S A MAXIMUM PRISON SENTENCE OF 20 YEARS. YOU 23 24 UNDERSTAND THAT? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 25

1	THE COURT: THERE'S A MAXIMUM FINE OF \$5 MILLION.
2	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
3	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
4	THE COURT: AND THERE'S A MINIMUM SUPERVISED RELEASE
5	TERM OF 3 YEARS AND A MANDATORY SPECIAL ASSESSMENT OF \$100.
6	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
7	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
8	THE COURT: AND THERE COULD BE RESTITUTION THAT
9	WOULD, AGAIN, BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT AND THERE COULD BE
10	FORFEITURE OF ANY CRIMINAL PROCEEDS AND THE COURT WOULD MAKE
11	THOSE INDEPENDENT DETERMINATIONS.
12	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
13	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: AND I MUST ALSO ADVISE YOU, SIR, THAT IF
15	YOU ARE NOT A UNITED STATES CITIZEN, CONVICTION OF THESE
16	OFFENSES COULD CAUSE YOU TO BE DENIED NATURALIZATION OF
17	DEPORTATION OR DENIED REENTRY INTO THE COUNTRY PURSUANT TO THE
18	LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES.
19	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR?
20	THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DO.
21	THE COURT: CONVICTION OF THESE OFFENSES COULD ALSO
22	HAVE OTHER COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCES ON YOU, SIR. FOR EXAMPLE,
23	YOU WOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO OWN, POSSESS OR HAVE UNDER YOUR
24	CONTROL A FIREARM AS A RESULT OF THESE CONVICTIONS.
25	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 1 THE COURT: AND YOU WOULD ALSO, IT'S POSSIBLE THAT 2 YOU COULD ALSO BE DENIED CERTAIN BENEFITS, GOVERNMENT BENEFITS 3 THAT OTHER INDIVIDUALS MIGHT ENJOY. 4 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR? 5 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: AND ALSO, SIR, THAT IF YOU WERE PLACED 7 ON A PERIOD OF SUPERVISED RELEASE, THERE WOULD BE CONDITIONS OF 8 THIS RELEASE. 9 IF YOU WERE SUBSEQUENTLY TO VIOLATE A CONDITION OF YOUR 10 SUPERVISED RELEASE, AND THAT WERE PROVED AT A HEARING, YOU 11 COULD BE SENTENCED TO PRISON FOR THAT VIOLATION. 12 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR, I DO. THE COURT: NOW, FURTHER IN THIS PLEA AGREEMENT, 15 SIR, I NOTE THAT PARAGRAPHS 4 AND 5 THAT ARE FOUND ON PAGE 6 OF 16 THE AGREEMENT TELL US THAT YOU ALSO AGREE TO GIVE UP YOUR RIGHT 17 TO APPEAL THE CONVICTION, THE JUDGMENT, THAT IS, THE SENTENCE, 18 AND ORDERS OF THIS COURT. 19 YOU ALSO ARE AGREEING TO WAIVE ANY RIGHT THAT YOU HAVE TO 20 APPEAL ANY ASPECT OF YOUR SENTENCE, INCLUDING ORDERS RELATING 21 TO FORFEITURE AND RESTITUTION. 22 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 23 THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: PARAGRAPH 5, SIR, TELLS US THAT YOU ALSO 25

AGREE NOT TO FILE ANY COLLATERAL ATTACK ON YOUR CONVICTIONS OR 1 SENTENCE, INCLUDING PETITIONS UNDER 28 UNITED STATES CODE 2 SECTIONS 2255 OR 2241. 3 4 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: YOU DO RETAIN THE RIGHT TO FILE AN 6 7 APPEAL AND CLAIM THAT YOUR COUNSEL WAS LESS THAN EFFECTIVE IN 8 CONNECTION WITH THE NEGOTIATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR ENTRY OF YOUR GUILTY PLEA. 9 10 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: PARAGRAPH 8 FOUND ON PAGE 8 ALSO TELLS 12 US AT LINE 7, SIR, THAT YOU ARE AGREEING TO A SPECIAL CONDITION 13 OF SUPERVISED RELEASE IN REGARDS TO SEARCHES. 14 15 AND YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 16 17 THE COURT: AND YOU'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO READ 18 AND UNDERSTAND THAT PARAGRAPH REGARDING THOSE SEARCH 19 CONDITIONS? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: THERE'S ALSO LANGUAGE IN THIS DOCUMENT 21 THAT SUGGESTS AT SOME TIME THE GOVERNMENT MAY MAKE APPROPRIATE 22 APPLICATION TO THE COURT AND MAKE COMMENTS AS TO WHAT THE 23 24 GOVERNMENT FEELS AN APPROPRIATE SENTENCE SHOULD BE AND ANY 25 DEVIATION OR REDUCTION IN THE SENTENCE.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? DO YOU SEE THAT LANGUAGE THAT 1 2 BEGINS ON PARAGRAPH 11 ON PAGE 9? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: NOW, THIS PLEA AGREEMENT ALSO INDICATES 4 THAT THERE'S NO PROMISE BY THE GOVERNMENT THAT THEY WILL DO 5 ANYTHING. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? IT APPEARS THAT THIS IS 6 SOLELY IN THEIR DISCRETION. 7 THE DEFENDANT: I DO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: HAS ANY PROMISE BEEN MADE TO YOU THAT 9 THE GOVERNMENT WILL DO ANYTHING AT ALL SPECIFICALLY IN REGARDS 10 TO THIS PARAGRAPH, SIR? 11 THE DEFENDANT: NO, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: NOW, SIR, WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO NOW IS TO 13 GO OVER WITH YOU THE RIGHTS THAT YOU CURRENTLY ENJOY. I'M 14 GOING TO EXPLAIN EACH OF THESE RIGHTS TO YOU. I'M GOING TO ASK 15 YOU IF YOU WERE ABLE TO HEAR AND UNDERSTAND THE RIGHT. I'LL 16 THEN ASK YOU IF YOU WISH TO WAIVE OR GIVE UP THAT RIGHT SO THAT 17 I CAN THEN ACCEPT YOUR PLEA OF GUILTY TO THE CHARGES. 18 FIRST OF ALL, SIR, YOU DO UNDERSTAND THAT YOU DO HAVE THE 19 RIGHT TO CONTINUE WITH YOUR PLEA OF NOT GUILTY AND PROCEED TO 20 21 TRIAL. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR? 22 THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DO. 23 THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL. 24 A JURY TRIAL IS A HEARING WHERE 12 MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY ARE 25

1	SELECTED AND THEY SEE AND HEAR THE EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENTS OF
2	COUNSEL AND THEY THEN MAKE A DECISION AS TO GUILT OR INNOCENCE
3	IF THEY'RE ABLE TO. THAT'S WHAT A JURY TRIAL IS.
4	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THEN YOUR RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL?
5	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: AND DO YOU GIVE UP THAT RIGHT?
7	THE DEFENDANT: I DO, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: AT YOUR TRIAL, SIR, YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO
9	BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL. IF YOU COULD NOT AFFORD AN
10	ATTORNEY, I WOULD APPOINT AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT YOU AT NO
11	CHARGE TO YOU.
12	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT RIGHT?
13	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: DO YOU GIVE UP THAT RIGHT?
15	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: AT YOUR TRIAL, SIR, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT
17	TO SEE AND HEAR AND ASK QUESTIONS OF ALL OF THE WITNESSES THAT
18	WILL TESTIFY AGAINST YOU. THAT'S CALLED YOUR RIGHT OF
19	CONFRONTATION.
20	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT RIGHT?
21	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: AND DO YOU GIVE UP THAT RIGHT?
23	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO PUT ON A DEFENSE AND
25	YOU COULD CALL WITNESSES TO TESTIFY IN YOUR DEFENSE AND YOU

1	COULD USE THE SUBPOENA POWER OF THE COURT TO COMPEL TO MAKE
2	THOSE WITNESSES TO COME TO COURT AND TESTIFY FOR YOU.
3	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT RIGHT?
4	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: AND DO YOU GIVE UP THAT RIGHT?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I DO, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO TESTIFY IN
8	YOUR OWN DEFENSE IF YOU WISH. HOWEVER, YOU MAY NOT BE
9	COMPELLED TO TESTIFY IF YOU DO NOT WISH TO DO SO.
10	YOU MUST UNDERSTAND, SIR, THAT BY PLEADING GUILTY TO THESE
11	CHARGES THIS MORNING, YOU DO INCRIMINATE YOURSELF TO THE
12	HIGHEST DEGREE.
13	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THEN YOUR RIGHT AGAINST
14	SELF-INCRIMINATION?
15	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR, I DO.
16	THE COURT: AND DO YOU GIVE UP THAT RIGHT?
17	THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
18 ,	THE COURT: NOW, SIR, I'M GOING TO ASK MR. SCHENK TO
19	NOW PROVIDE TO US A BRIEF STATEMENT AND DESCRIPTION THAT
20	DESCRIBES THE FACTS UPON WHICH THIS PROSECUTION IS BASED.
21	I'M GOING TO INVITE YOU TO LISTEN VERY CLOSELY TO THIS
22	DESCRIPTION OF FACTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS PREPARED TO PROVE
23	IN THEIR PROSECUTION AGAINST YOU, SIR.
24	AT ITS CONCLUSION I'LL ASK YOU IF YOU WERE ABLE TO HEAR
25	AND UNDERSTAND THE FACTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS PREPARED TO

PROVE AGAINST YOU IN THEIR CASE. 1 FOLLOWING THAT, SIR, I'LL THEN ASK YOU TO YOUR PLEAS TO 2 3 EACH OF THE CHARGES. MR. SCHENK. 4 MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 5 THROUGH DOCUMENTS AND TESTIMONY THE UNITED STATES IS 6 7 PREPARED TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT IN 2002 CHRIS 8 LUCK, KEITH RODE, AND THE DEFENDANT ESTABLISHED A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ENTITLED GERINGER, LUCK & RODE, LLC, KNOWN AS 9 THE LLC, LOCATED AT 4444 SCOTTS VALLEY DRIVE IN SCOTTS VALLEY, 10 CALIFORNIA. THEY FORMED GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC AND GLR 11 GROWTH FUND LP. THAT'S ALSO KNOWN AS THE FUND. 12 THE LLC WAS THE PARENT COMPANY THAT PAID MOST OF THE 13 OVERHEAD FOR GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT AND THE FUND. 14 15 GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR PAYING THE THREE PARTNER'S SALARIES. 16 CHRIS LUCK, KEITH RODE, AND THE DEFENDANT WERE FRIENDS 17 BEFORE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE LLC. 18 THE DEFENDANT HAD EXPERIENCE IN FINANCE, TRADING, AND 19 INSURANCE, AND HAD THE NECESSARY LICENSES AND REGISTRATION TO 20 21 MANAGE INVESTMENTS. 22 THE DEFENDANT'S PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES AT THE FUND CONSISTED OF CLIENT RELATIONS, MAINTAINING CLIENT ACCOUNTS, AND 23 ALLOCATION OF INVESTMENT FUNDS. 24 LUCK HAD EXPERIENCE IN BUSINESS OPERATIONS, MARKETING, AND 25

RUNNING START-UP COMPANIES.

LUCK'S PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES INCLUDED MANAGING PRIVATE
COMPANIES INTO WHICH THE FUND INVESTED, INCLUDING MEDIATILE AND
DIGITAL DELIVERY NETWORKS INC., KNOWN AS DDNI.

LUCK ALSO PARTICIPATED WITH THE RECRUITMENT OF INVESTORS

INTO THE FUND. ON CERTAIN OCCASIONS LUCK SAT DOWN WITH

POTENTIAL INVESTORS AND EXPLAINED TO THEM THE REASONS WHY THEY

SHOULD INVEST WITH THE FUND.

KEITH RODE IS AND WAS THE C.P.A. AND HAD EXPERIENCE IN ACCOUNTING AND TAXES. KEITH RODE PREPARED FUND INVESTOR STATEMENTS AND PREPARED GLR'S TAX RETURNS.

BY COMBINING ALL OF OUR VARIOUS SKILLS, THE THREE PARTNERS SOUGHT TO CREATE AND MANAGE AN INVESTMENT COMPANY. THEY RECRUITED INVESTORS WITH PROMISES OF HIGH RETURNS WITH INVESTMENTS DIVIDED 75 PERCENT INTO EQUITIES AND 25 PERCENT INTO DIRECT COMPANY INVESTMENTS.

THEY FORMED THE FUND IN 2003.

NEW INVESTMENTS INTO THE FUND WERE LOCKED IN FOR A 12-MONTH PERIOD. AFTER THAT, INVESTORS COULD REQUEST WITHDRAWAL OF ALL OR PART OF THEIR FUNDS.

INVESTORS ALSO HAD THE OPTION OF HAVING THEIR INVESTMENTS

ROLLED OVER FOR ANOTHER YEAR. INVESTORS WHO WANTED TO WITHDRAW

INVESTMENT FUNDS WERE REQUIRED TO GIVE GLR WRITTEN NOTICE

60 DAYS PRIOR TO THE DATE THE FUNDS WOULD BE RETURNED.

THEY RECRUITED NEW INVESTORS SOLELY THROUGH WORD OF MOUTH

AND REFERRALS.

PRIOR TO 2008, THE FUND INVESTED IN A COMBINATION OF STOCKS AND PRIVATE COMPANY INVESTMENTS.

HOWEVER, BETWEEN 2004 AND 2008, CHRIS LUCK ENCOURAGED

KEITH RODE AND THE DEFENDANT TO INVEST INCREASING AMOUNTS OF

INVESTOR MONEY INTO PRIVATE COMPANIES.

AFTER SOME EARLY SUCCESS IN PRIVATE COMPANY INVESTMENTS

AND IN LINE WITH LUCK'S WISHES, THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO DIVERT

MORE AND MORE INVESTOR COMPANIES INTO PRIVATE COMPANIES EVEN

THOUGH THEY WERE STILL REPRESENTING TO POTENTIAL INVESTORS THAT

THEIR INVESTMENTS WOULD BE ALLOCATED 20 PERCENT IN S & P 100,

AND 20 PERCENT IN S & P 500 INDEX, 20 PERCENT IN NASDAQ,

15 PERCENT IN DOW JONES 30, AND 25 PERCENT IN DIRECT COMPANY

INVESTMENTS. THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO DIVERT MORE AND MORE OF

THE FUND INTO PRIVATE COMPANIES.

SINCE THE PRIVATE COMPANY INVESTMENTS GENERATED NO RETURN TO THE FUND EXCEPT UPON SALE, THE FUND HAD LESS MONEY AVAILABLE FOR TRADING.

THIS LACK OF MONEY CREATED ADDITIONAL PRESSURE ON THE DEFENDANT TO GENERATE HIGH RETURNS IN THE FUND'S TRADING ACCOUNTS.

AS A RESULT OF THIS PRESSURE, THE DEFENDANT BEGAN
FALSIFYING BROKER ACCOUNT STATEMENTS TO REFLECT BETTER
PERFORMANCE HOPING THIS WOULD CONTINUE TO JUSTIFY USING
INVESTOR FUNDS FOR EVEN MORE PRIVATE COMPANY INVESTMENTS.

BASED UPON HIS FALSE PERFORMANCE REPORTS, AT THE END OF 1 2008, LUCK, RODE, AND THE DEFENDANT EACH TOOK A BONUS PAYMENT 2 OF APPROXIMATELY \$1.1 MILLION FROM THE FUND. 3 THIS CYCLE OF FALSIFIED TRADING GAINS USED TO JUSTIFY 4 5 INCREASED PRIVATE COMPANY INVESTMENTS CONTINUED FROM 2004 THROUGH MAY 25, 2012, WHEN THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 6 7 COMMISSION FILED AN ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION AGAINST THE 8 DEFENDANT. AFTER 2008, FOLLOWING A MAJOR LIQUIDATION BY SOME 9 10 SIGNIFICANT INVESTORS AND WITH WORSENING ECONOMIC CONDITIONS, THE DEFENDANT MADE THE DECISION TO INVEST THE FUND EXCLUSIVELY 11 INTO ONE OF TWO PRIVATE COMPANIES, MEDIATILE AND DDNI. 12 BOTH MEDIATILE AND DDNI ARE LOCATED IN SCOTTS VALLEY. 13 LUCK AND RODE SERVED ON THE BOARDS OF DIRECTORS FOR MEDIATILE 1415 AND DDNI. ALTHOUGH THE DEFENDANT BELIEVED THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP 16 17 AGREEMENT EMPOWERED HIM TO ALLOCATE FUND MONEY AS HE DEEMED APPROPRIATE BASED ON MARKET CONDITIONS, HE DID NOT INITIALLY 18 DISCLOSE THIS ACTION TO LUCK, RODE OR THE INVESTORS. 19 IN OR ABOUT APRIL OF 2009 AN INVESTOR, A FUND INVESTOR 20 21 BEARING THE INITIALS I.H., REQUESTED THE RETURN OF HER \$12 MILLION INVESTMENT INTO THE FUND. 22 SINCE MOST OF THE FUND WAS INVESTED IN DDNI AND MEDIATILE, 23 24 THERE WERE NOT ENOUGH LIQUID FUNDS TO REPAY HER. 25 THEN THE DEFENDANT KNEW THAT HE HAD TO REIMBURSE THE FUND

FOR THE \$1.1 MILLION BONUS THAT HE HAD TAKEN AT THE END OF 2008 1 AND ALSO THAT HE HAD TO DISCLOSE THE STATUS OF THE FUND AND 2 I.H.'S REOUEST TO HIS PARTNERS LUCK AND RODE. 3 SHORTLY THEREAFTER LUCK AND THE DEFENDANT MET IN PERSON 4 AND THEY CALLED RODE. 5 DURING THIS MEETING THE DEFENDANT TOLD LUCK AND RODE THAT 6 7 THEY EACH NEEDED TO REPAY THE \$1.1 MILLION BONUS THAT THEY HAD TAKEN AT THE END OF 2008. 8 LUCK AND RODE DEMANDED AN EXPLANATION FOR THE DEFENDANT'S 9 REQUEST THAT THEY RETURN THIS BONUS. THE DEFENDANT EXPLAINED 10 TO LUCK AND RODE THE STATUS OF THE FUND, INCLUDING HIS 11 12 FALSIFIED BROKER ACCOUNT STATEMENTS, I.H.'S REQUEST, AND THAT THEY NEEDED TO REPAY THE \$1.1 MILLION BONUS. 13 14 DURING THIS MEETING THE THREE PARTNERS DECIDED TO ATTEMPT 15 TO NEGOTIATE INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS TO I.H. SOON AFTER THIS MEETING ON OR ABOUT APRIL 23RD, 2009, THE 16 DEFENDANT DRAFTED AND SIGNED A DOCUMENT KNOWN AS HIS 17 CONFESSION. IN THIS DOCUMENT THE DEFENDANT TRUTHFULLY WROTE 18 19 THAT HE HAD FALSIFIED TRADING STATEMENTS, REPORTS BOTH WRITTEN 20 AND VERBAL, AND TRADES TO THE GLR GROWTH FUND LP AND GLR 21 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC. MR. GERINGER WROTE THIS DOCUMENT IN RESPONSE TO THE VERBAL 22 23 ADMISSION THAT HE HAD MADE RECENTLY TO HIS PARTNERS LUCK AND 24 RODE. 25 AFTER HIS EARLY 2009 CONFESSION TO LUCK AND RODE, THE

THREE PARTNERS HAD A CONVERSATION WHEN THEY DECIDED THEY HAD A CHOICE TO MAKE. THEY COULD EITHER REPORT HIS MISCONDUCT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OR THE THREE OF THEM COULD ATTEMPT TO EARN THE LOST MONEY BACK FOR THEIR INVESTORS.

THEY DECIDED TO TRY TO MAKE THEIR INVESTORS WHOLE. IN CHOOSING THIS PATH, THEY KNEW THAT THEY WOULD NEED TO RECRUIT ADDITIONAL INVESTORS. THEY ALSO KNEW THAT DURING THIS PERIOD OF RECRUITMENT OF NEW INVESTORS BEGINNING IN MID-2009, LUCK AND THE DEFENDANT WOULD HAVE, AND, IN FACT, DID MEET AND MAKE MANY FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS AND REPRESENTATIONS TO POTENTIAL INVESTORS.

AMONG THESE FALSE STATEMENTS AND MATERIAL OMISSIONS, LUCK
AND THE DEFENDANT LED POTENTIAL INVESTORS TO BELIEVE THAT THE
FUND HAD A POSITIVE HISTORICAL STOCK MARKET PERFORMANCE AND HAD
MADE AND WOULD CONTINUE TO MAKE DIVERSIFIED EQUITY TRADES AND
WOULD NOT DIVERT ALL OF AN INVESTMENT INTO PRIVATE COMPANIES
SUCH AS MEDIATILE AND DDNI.

LUCK AND THE DEFENDANT, EVEN THOUGH THEY MADE THESE REPRESENTATIONS TO INVESTORS, KNEW THEM TO BE FALSE.

MR. GERINGER AND MR. LUCK UNDERSTOOD THAT IF THEY WERE HONEST WITH POTENTIAL INVESTORS, MANY WOULD NOT INVEST IN THE FUND.

BOTH BEFORE AND AFTER THE DEFENDANT'S CONFESSION WITH HIS

PARTNERS, LUCK AND THE DEFENDANTS PROVIDED CERTAIN DOCUMENTS TO

POTENTIAL INVESTORS IN ORDER TO ENCOURAGE THEM TO INVEST IN THE

FUND.

THE DEFENDANT KNEW AND AFTER HIS CONFESSION IN APRIL OF 2009, CHRISTOPHER LUCK KNEW THAT THESE NEW INVESTOR MATERIALS CONTAINED SEVERAL INACCURACIES.

FOR INSTANCE, THE INVESTMENT MATERIALS STATED IN 2003 FROM AT LEAST 2009, THE FUND ASSET ALLOCATION WAS 20 PERCENT IN S & P 100, 20 PERCENT IN S & P 500 INDEX, 20 PERCENT IN NASDAQ, 15 PERCENT IN DOW JONES 30, AND 25 PERCENT IN DIRECT COMPANY INVESTMENTS.

THIS ASSET ALLOCATION WAS NO LONGER ACCURATE AFTER 2008

AND CHRISTOPHER LUCK AND THE DEFENDANT KNEW THAT TO BE TRUE.

CHRISTOPHER LUCK AND THE DEFENDANT CONTINUED TO TELL INVESTORS

THAT THIS ALLOCATION WAS ACCURATE BECAUSE THEY BELIEVED THAT

CLAIMING THE FUND PROVIDED DIVERSE ALLOCATION WAS NECESSARY TO

CAUSE NEW INVESTORS TO INVEST IN THE FUND.

THE DEFENDANT WROTE QUOTE, "MEMBER NASD AND SEC APPROVED,"
CLOSED QUOTE, ON THE INVESTOR MATERIALS.

THE DEFENDANT KNEW THAT THE FUND WAS NOT A MEMBER OF NASD NOR WAS IT APPROVED BY THE S.E.C. THE DEFENDANT UNDERSTOOD

THAT BY USING THIS LANGUAGE ON THE INVESTMENT MATERIALS,

INVESTORS MAY HAVE FELT A FALSE SENSE OF COMFORT OR BEEN ENCOURAGED TO INVEST IN THE FUND.

ALSO, THE INVESTMENT MATERIALS CLAIMED THAT THE FUND WAS INDEPENDENTLY AUDITED EACH YEAR. THIS, TOO, WAS A FALSE STATEMENT.

THE DEFENDANT BELIEVED THAT PLACING THIS STATEMENT ON THE 1 INVESTMENT MATERIALS WOULD PROVIDE A SENSE OF COMFORT TO 2 POTENTIAL INVESTORS AND ENCOURAGE THEIR INVESTMENT INTO THE 3 4 FUND. BEFORE AND AFTER THE DEFENDANT'S CONFESSION, KEITH RODE 5 6 MAILED QUARTERLY STATEMENTS TO EACH INVESTOR AND PREPARED TAX 7 STATEMENTS. AFTER THE DEFENDANT'S CONFESSION, KEITH RODE CONTINUED TO 8 9 SEND TO EACH INVESTOR A QUARTERLY STATEMENT SHOWING THE FUND'S 10 INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE. THE DEFENDANT BELIEVES THESE ACCOUNT STATEMENTS WERE 11 12 MISLEADING BECAUSE THEY CREATED THE FALSE IMPRESSION AMONG BOTH CURRENT AND POTENTIAL INVESTORS THAT THE FUND HAD REALIZED 13 14 ACTUAL AND PROFITABLE STOCK MARKET RETURNS WHEN, IN FACT, THE PARTNERS KNEW THAT IT HAD NOT. 15 THE PERCENTAGE RETURN REPORTED TO INVESTORS WAS BASED 16 SOLELY UPON THEIR INTERNAL VALUATION OF DDNI AND MEDIATILE, THE . 17 PRIVATE COMPANIES THE FUND WAS INCLUSIVELY INVESTING IN AT THIS 18 19 POINT. KEITH RODE, AFTER LEARNING ABOUT THE DEFENDANT'S 20 21 PRE-APRIL 2009 FALSIFIED BROKER ACCOUNT STATEMENTS, FAILED TO 22 SEND CORRECTED ACCOUNT STATEMENTS TO INVESTORS. THE DEFENDANT BELIEVES THAT KEITH RODE KNEW THAT DOING SO 23 WOULD HAVE BOTH CAUSED OLD INVESTORS TO DEMAND THE RETURN OF 24

THEIR INVESTMENTS AS WELL AS PREVENT THE THREE PARTNERS FROM

RECRUITING ANY NEW INVESTORS INTO THE FUND. 1 FOR EXAMPLE, ON OR ABOUT APRIL 27, 2011, LUCK, RODE, AND 2 3 THE DEFENDANT MAILED OR CAUSED TO BE MAILED A FALSE OR MISLEADING ACCOUNT INTEREST STATEMENT TO AN INVESTOR BEARING 4 THE INITIALS L.B. 5 IN TOTAL, THE DEFENDANT BELIEVES THAT THE FUND INVESTED 6 BETWEEN \$45 AND \$50 MILLION INTO TWO PRIVATE COMPANIES, 7 MEDIATILE AND DDNI. 8 NÉITHER MEDIATILE NOR DDNI MADE ANY PAYMENTS TO THE FUND, 9 ALTHOUGH THE FUND WAS ITS LARGEST SHAREHOLDER IN EACH COMPANY. 10 LUCK, RODE, AND THE DEFENDANT HAD HOPED TO SELL MEDIATILE 11 12 TO DDNI FOR SUBSTANTIAL PROFIT AND TO USE THOSE PROFITS TO MAKE ALL OF THEIR INVESTORS WHOLE. 13 THE GOVERNMENT IS PREPARED TO PROVE THAT THE MAILS AND 14 OTHER CONDUCT OCCURRED IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. 15 THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. SCHENK. 16 MR. GERINGER, WERE YOU ABLE TO HEAR AND UNDERSTAND THE 17 FACTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS PREPARED TO PROVE IN ITS 18 19 PROSECUTION AGAINST YOU? THE DEFENDANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: ARE THOSE FACTS TRUE AND CORRECT, SIR? 21 THE DEFENDANT: THEY ARE CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: ARE THEY TRUE? 23 THE DEFENDANT: THEY'RE TRUE, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: THANK YOU. I'LL NOW ASK YOU FOR YOUR 25

PLEA, SIR. AND I'M REFERRING TO AN INDICTMENT THAT IS DATED DECEMBER 20, 2012.

IT'S ALLEGED IN COUNT 1, SIR, A VIOLATION OF 18 UNITED

STATES CODE SECTION 1349, CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MAIL AND WIRE

FRAUD, IT IS ALLEGED, SIR, IN THAT COUNT THAT FROM IN OR ABOUT

2009 THROUGH ON OR ABOUT 2012, IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF

CALIFORNIA AND ELSEWHERE YOU, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, AND KEITH RODE,
AND OTHERS KNOWN AND UNKNOWN TO THE GRAND JURY, DID KNOWINGLY

AND INTENTIONALLY CONSPIRE AND AGREED TOGETHER AND WITH EACH

OTHER TO COMMIT OFFENSES AGAINST THE UNITED STATES, TO WIT,

MAIL FRAUD, IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 UNITED STATES SECTION

1341, AND, B, WIRE FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 UNITED STATES

CODE SECTION 1343, BY DEVISING A SCHEME AND ARTIFICE TO OBTAIN

MONEY BY MEANS OF FALSE AND FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATIONS

SPECIFICALLY BY SOLICITING INVESTMENTS UNDER THE FALSE AND

FRAUDULENT PRETENSE THAT THE SOLICITED FUNDS WOULD BE INVESTED

IN DIVERSIFIED EQUITY TRADING.

I'M ALSO GOING TO READ THE MEANS AND METHOD OF THE CONSPIRACY, SIR.

AMONG THE MEANS AND METHODS BY WHICH YOU, LUCK, AND RODE CARRIED OUT THIS CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD INVESTORS IN THE FOLLOWING:

A, MAILING AND OTHERWISE DELIVERING TO INVESTORS THE MARKETING MATERIALS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT CONTAINED MATERIAL, FALSE INFORMATION;

1	B, TRANSMITTING AND CAUSING TO BE TRANSMITTED WIRE
2	TRANSFERS DIVERTING INVESTOR FUNDS FOR UNAUTHORIZED
3	EXPENDITURES SUCH AS INVESTMENTS IN MEDIATILE AND DDNI AND
4	PERSONAL USE;
5	C, MAILING TO INVESTORS DECEPTIVE AND MISLEADING ACCOUNT
6	STATEMENTS WHICH CREATED THE APPEARANCE THAT THEIR INVESTMENTS
7	WERE PERFORMING AND THEIR PRINCIPAL WAS SAFE;
8	D, PAYING INTEREST AND/OR PRINCIPAL WITHDRAWALS TO
9	INVESTORS THAT WERE FUNDED IN MATERIAL PART BY NEW INVESTOR
10	MONEY;
11.	E, PROVIDING FALSE TAX AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION TO
12	INVESTORS IN ORDER TO LULL INVESTORS INTO A FALSE SENSE OF
13	SECURITY REGARDING THE SAFETY OF THEIR INVESTMENTS;
14	F, MAKING AT MEETINGS WITH NEW POTENTIAL INVESTORS
15	MATERIALLY FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS AND OMITTING TO
16	DISCLOSE MATERIAL INFORMATION; AND,
17	G, PAYING THEMSELVES MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THROUGH VARIOUS
18	FORMS OF COMPENSATION ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 UNITED
19	STATES CODE SECTION 1349.
20	TO THAT CHARGE, SIR, WHAT IS YOUR PLEA? IS YOUR PLEA
21	GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY?
22	THE DEFENDANT: GUILTY, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: IT'S ALLEGED IN COUNT 2, SIR, A
24	VIOLATION OF 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1341, MAIL FRAUD, IT
25	IS ALLEGED THAT ON OR ABOUT THE DATES SET FORTH BELOW IN THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AND ELSEWHERE, YOU, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, AND KEITH RODE, FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXECUTING THE ABOVE DESCRIBED SCHEME TO DEFRAUD AND ATTEMPTING TO DO SO BY MEANS OF FALSE AND FRAUDULENT MATERIAL REPRESENTATIONS SENT AND CAUSED TO BE SENT THROUGH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE THE ITEM DESCRIBED BELOW IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1341. AND THE ITEM RELATED TO COUNT 2 IS A DATE OF APRIL 27, 2011. THE ITEM MAILED WAS INVESTOR INITIAL L.B.'S GLR INTEREST STATEMENT ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1341.

TO THAT CHARGE, SIR, WHAT IS YOUR PLEA? IS YOUR PLEA GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY?

THE DEFENDANT: GUILTY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: IT'S ALLEGED, SIR, IN COUNT 27, A
VIOLATION OF 15 UNITED STATES CODE SECTIONS 78J, SUBSECTION (B)
AND 78FF, 17 C.F.R. SECTIONS 240.10B-5 AND 240.10B-5-2, 18
UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 2, SECURITIES FRAUD, IT IS ALLEGED,
SIR, THAT ON OR ABOUT 2009 THROUGH 2012 IN THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AND ELSEWHERE YOU, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, AND
KEITH RODE, DID WILLFULLY AND KNOWINGLY, DIRECTLY AND
INDIRECTLY, BY USE OF THE MEANS AND INSTRUMENTALITIES OF
INTERSTATE COMMERCE, THE MAILS, AND THE FACILITIES OF NATIONAL
SECURITY EXCHANGES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASES AND SALE OF
SECURITIES DID USE AND EMPLOY MANIPULATIVE AND DECEPTIVE
DEVICES AND CONTRIVANCES AND AIDED AND ABETTED OTHERS IN USING

AND EMPLOYING MANIPULATIVE AND DECEPTIVE DEVICES AND
CONTRIVANCES IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15 UNITED STATES CODE
SECTIONS 78J, SUBSECTION (B) AND 78FF, AND TITLE 17 CODE OF
FEDERAL REGULATIONS SECTIONS 240.10B-5 AND 240.10B-5-2, AND
TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 2 BY,
A, EMPLOYING DEVICES, SCHEMES, AND ARTIFICES TO DEFRAUD;
B, MAKING UNTRUE STATEMENTS OF MATERIAL FACTS AND FAILING
TO STATE MATERIAL FACTS NECESSARY IN ORDER TO MAKE THE
STATEMENTS MADE IN THE LIGHT OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH
THEY WERE MADE NOT MISLEADING; AND,
C, ENGAGING IN ACTS, PRACTICES, AND COURSES OF BUSINESS
WHICH OPERATED AND WOULD OPERATE AS A FRAUD AND DECEIT UPON
PERSONS SPECIFICALLY BY TELLING INVESTORS OR ALLOWING INVESTORS
TO BELIEVE THAT THEIR INVESTMENT IN THE FUND WOULD BE USED TO
PURCHASE EQUITIES TRADED ON THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE OR
NASDAQ WHEN, IN FACT, THE DEFENDANTS KNEW THAT NO SUCH
INVESTMENTS WOULD BE MADE ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15 UNITED
STATES CODE SECTIONS 78J SUBSECTION (B) AND 78FF, TITLE 17 CODE
OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS SECTIONS 240.10B-5 AND 240.10B5-2, AND
TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 2.
TO THAT CHARGE, SIR, WHAT IS YOUR PLEA? IS YOUR PLEA
GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY?
THE DEFENDANT: GUILTY, YOUR HONOR.
THE COURT: COUNSEL, DO YOU CONCUR IN YOUR CLIENT'S
PLEAS?

1	MR. WHELAN: I DO.	
2	THE COURT: AND STIPULATE TO A FACTUAL BASIS FOR	
3	EACH PLEA?	
4	MR. WHELAN: I DO.	
5	THE COURT: THE COURT WILL ACCEPT THE PLEA AND FIND	
6	THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS MADE A KNOWING AND INTELLIGENT AND FREE	
7	AND VOLUNTARILY WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND ENTRY OF	
8	PLEAS. THERE HAS BEEN A SHOWING OF A FACTUAL BASIS FOR EACH	
9	ELEMENT OF THE OFFENSES, AND THE COURT WILL ORDER THE PLEAS	
10	RECORDED AT THIS TIME.	
11	I WILL REFER YOU, MR. GERINGER, TO THE PROBATION	
12	DEPARTMENT FOR PREPARATION OF A PRESENTENCE REPORT.	
13	YOUR LAWYER WILL ESCORT YOU TO THAT OFFICE THIS MORNING TO	
14	PREPARE SOME PRELIMINARY AND SIGN SOME PRELIMINARY DOCUMENTS	
15	AND INFORMATION.	
16	AS TO A DATE FOR SENTENCING, DO COUNSEL HAVE A SUGGESTED	
17	DATE?	
18	MR. WHELAN: WE DO, AND WE HAVE DISCUSSED IT WITH	
19	YOUR CLERK. WE WOULD ASK FOR A DATE OF OCTOBER 20TH.	
20	THE COURT: OCTOBER 20TH AT 1:30?	
21	MR. WHELAN: YES.	
22	THE COURT: AND, MS. GARCIA, WE CAN ACCOMMODATE	
23	THAT?	
24	THE CLERK: YES.	
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL CONTINUE THIS CASE FOR	
	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

SENTENCING TO OCTOBER 20TH, OCTOBER 20TH AT 1:30. 1 MR. SCHENK, IT HAS COME TO MY ATTENTION THAT THERE MAY BE 2 INDIVIDUALS OR VICTIMS WHO WISH TO BE HEARD TODAY? 3 MR. SCHENK: YES, THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AS 4 THE COURT CAN SEE THERE ARE MANY VICTIMS PRESENT IN THE COURT 5 TODAY. I UNDERSTAND THAT A HANDFUL OF THE VICTIMS WHO ARE 6 7 PRESENT TODAY, IF THE COURT WOULD BE SO KIND, WOULD LIKE TO 8 ADDRESS THE COURT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, COUNSEL, I'M INCLINED 9 TO ALLOW THESE INDIVIDUALS TO BE HEARD THIS MORNING. OF 10 COURSE, THEY NOW KNOW, EVERYONE KNOWS THE SENTENCING DATE THAT 11 I HAVE INDICATED OCTOBER 20TH, OCTOBER 20TH, IS THE DATE WHEN 12 VICTIMS CAN COME FORTH ALSO AND BE HEARD IF THEY WISH. 13 BUT IF THERE ARE PEOPLE HERE TODAY THAT WISH TO BE HEARD, 14 15 I CAN CERTAINLY HEAR FROM THEM. SO, COUNSEL, WHY DON'T I ASK YOU TO HAVE A SEAT THEN AT 16 17 COUNSEL TABLE AND THEN I'LL CALL THE INDIVIDUALS UP AS I 18 UNDERSTAND. MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU. 19 MR. WHELAN: VERY WELL. 20 THE COURT: I DO HAVE A LIST OF INDIVIDUALS. AND 21 22 LET ME GO DOWN THE LIST OF NAMES THAT I HAVE. PAUL BROWNE. IS 23 PAUL BROWNE PRESENT? MR. BROWNE: YES, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: YES, SIR. WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE HEARD 25

1 THIS MORNING, SIR? MR. BROWNE: YES, YOUR HONOR. 2 THE COURT: PLEASE COME FORWARD, SIR. PLEASE COME 3 TO THE LECTERN THERE AND IF YOU WOULD STATE YOUR NAME THEN AND 4 5 SPELL IT, PLEASE. MR. BROWNE: YES, YOUR HONOR. MY NAME IS PAUL D, AS 6 IN DENNIS, BROWNE. BROWNE IS SPELLED WITH AN "E" ON THE END. 7 8 MY FAMILY NEVER COULD SPELL VERY WELL SO. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SIR, WHAT IS IT THAT YOU 9 10 WOULD LIKE ME TO KNOW THIS MORNING? MR. BROWNE: SIR, I'M PRETTY UNFAMILIAR WITH THE 11 COURTROOM PROCEDURE SO I THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO ADDRESS 12 13 THE COURT. 14 THE COURT: OF COURSE. MR. BROWNE: I WOULD JUST LIKE YOU TO KNOW WHAT OUR 15 POSITION WAS WHEN THIS OCCURRED. I WAS, DUE TO MY CAREER 16 17 CHOICE, I WAS FORCED TO RETIRE AT AGE 60 BACK IN 1996 AND AT THAT POINT MY TOTAL RETIREMENT INCOME WOULD HAVE BEEN ABOUT 18 19 \$40,000 PRIOR TO SOCIAL SECURITY. I WAS FORTUNATE TO HAVE AN IRA OF A FAIRLY GOOD SIZE, AND 20 I WAS ABLE TO MANAGE THAT FOR 11 YEARS AND THAT INCREASED MY 21 INCOME BY A GOOD 50 PERCENT. 22 BUT IN 2008 WHEN THE BARE MARKET OCCURRED, I JUST DIDN'T 23 HAVE THE CONFIDENCE TO INVEST. SO GOOD FRIENDS, MANY GOOD 24 25 FRIENDS, MANY INTELLIGENT, SUCCESSFUL GOOD FRIENDS PUT ME ON TO

GLR GROWTH FUND, AND I WAS IMPRESSED BY WHAT THEY HAD TO SAY.

WE INVESTED MY ENTIRE IRA BEGINNING IN 2009 WITH A PORTION OF IT. AND I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PLEASE EXPLAIN TO ME HOW YOU CAN DO THIS BECAUSE I CAN'T EXPLAIN IT TO MY FAMILY AND FRIENDS, AND I DEPENDED UPON THAT IN ORDER TO HELP MY FOUR GRANDKIDS' EDUCATION IN THE FUTURE.

AND I WAS TOLD BY THE DEFENDANT, WELL, I CAN MAKE A PROFIT IN ANY KIND OF A MARKET BECAUSE I JUST BUY AND SELL OPTIONS, AND I HARDLY EVER HOLD ONTO STOCK. THAT WAS THE ONE BIT OF TRUTH THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS ABLE TO TELL ME BECAUSE AT THAT POINT I LATER LEARNED THAT HE WAS NOT EVEN TRADING.

OUR LOSS REPRESENTS WELL OVER HALF OF OUR NET WORTH AND OVER 40 PERCENT OF OUR PROJECTED ANNUAL INCOME BACK AT THAT TIME.

I'M NOW 78 YEARS OLD AND IF WE HAD A SERIOUS EMERGENCY, I WOULD HAVE TO DEPEND UPON MY CHILDREN TO SUPPORT ME RATHER THAN THE OTHER WAY AROUND.

SO AFTER 50 YEARS OF WORK AND SAVINGS, IT ALL VANISHED

OVER NIGHT. AND I REALIZE THAT THIS PLEA IS REALLY A PLEA

BARGAIN. AND IF IT WERE ONLY MY FAMILY THAT WAS INJURED, I CAN

SEE MAYBE SOME LENIENCY IN THE SENTENCING BUT, YOUR HONOR,

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WELL OVER 200 PEOPLE AND NOT JUST

INDIVIDUALS BUT MULTIPLY THAT BY FIVE OR SIX TIMES OF FAMILY

MEMBERS THAT HAVE BEEN HURT.

AS A CHRISTIAN, I'M BOUND TO FORGIVE THE DEFENDANT BUT

JUSTICE SHOULD PREVAIL. 1 2 THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. MR. BROWNE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 4 THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME. DAVID BYRON. IS 5 MR. BYRON PRESENT? MR. BYRON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, SIR. IF YOU COULD PLEASE 7 . 8 STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL IT, PLEASE. MR. BYRON: IT'S DAVID BYRON. LAST NAME IS 9 10 B-Y-R-O-N. THE COURT: YES, SIR. WHAT IS IT THAT YOU WOULD 11 12 LIKE ME TO KNOW? MR. BYRON: THANK YOU, JUDGE DAVILA, FOR THE 13 OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COURT TODAY. 14 15 FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE OTHER VICTIMS HERE. ALTHOUGH I HAVE ONLY PERSONALLY MET A FEW OF YOU, WE 16 17 HAVE ALL SHARED A COMMON BOND BECAUSE OF THIS TRAGEDY. OUR 18 COMMUNICATION AND SUPPORT WITH ONE ANOTHER HAS HELPED ME COPE 19 WITH THIS LOSS. I'M GRATEFUL TO HAVE THE COLLECTIVE SUPPORT OF 20 ALL OF YOU. YOU ARE AN AMAZING GROUP OF PEOPLE, AND I AM 21 DEEPLY SORRY FOR YOUR LOSS. IT GIVES ME SOME COMFORT THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS PLEADED 22 GUILTY TO THE COUNTS AGAINST HIM. BECAUSE OF THE DEFENDANT'S 23 24 LIES, ARROGANCE, AND RECKLESSNESS, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS HAVE 25 BEEN LOST. MY PERSONAL LOSS IS OVER \$200,000.

1 BECAUSE OF THIS I HAVE LOST MY RETIREMENT AND AT 52 2 PERHAPS THE ABILITY TO FULLY RECOVER IN THE FUTURE. 3 I FEEL BETRAYED BY GLR GROWTH FUND, I FEEL BEATEN AND I FEEL VERY INSECURE ABOUT MY FINANCIAL FUTURE. I'M A GUITAR 4 5 INSTRUCTOR AND MUSICIAN OF 30 YEARS. I LIVE ON A MODEST INCOME SIMILAR TO THAT OF A SCHOOL TEACHER BUT BEING SELF-EMPLOYED 6 I'VE HAD TO SAVE FOR MY OWN RETIREMENT. MY STORY NOW MAY VERY 7 WELL BE A STORY OF WHAT COULD HAVE BEEN AND SHOULD HAVE BEEN. 8 9 I'M SURE NOW THAT MR. GERINGER HAS TOLD MANY LIES AND 10 WHETHER OR NOT HE BELIEVED THEM TO BE TRUE, OUR FINANCIAL 11 STATEMENTS CERTAINLY ARE NOT. 12 I'M NOT SURE WHAT KIND OF DEAL, IF ANY, HAS BEEN MADE 13 BETWEEN THE DEFENDANT AND THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REGARDING THIS CASE, BUT I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU, JUDGE DAVILA, HAVE THE 14 15 POWER TO SERVE THE KIND OF SENTENCE THAT TRULY FITS THE SEVERITY OF THESE CRIMES, AND I HOPE YOU WILL DO THAT. THANK 16 17 YOU. THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. 18 CHRIS DAY. IS CHRIS DAY PRESENT? GOOD MORNING, SIR. 19 MR. DAY: GOOD MORNING. 20 THE COURT: IF YOU COULD PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND 21 SPELL IT, PLEASE. 22 MR. DAY: MY NAME IS CHRIS DAY. C-H-R-I-S. AND 23 24 LAST NAME IS DAY, D-A-Y. THE COURT: THANK YOU. WHAT IS IT THAT YOU WOULD 25

LIKE ME TO KNOW, SIR? 1 2 MR. DAY: I HAVE A FEW COMMENTS IF I MAY. 3 THE COURT: YES. MR. DAY: I'M A LONG-TIME FRIEND OF JOHN'S. I AM 4 5 ACTUALLY FOUNDER OF ONE OF GLR'S PORTFOLIO COMPANIES THAT WAS SOLD IN SEPTEMBER OF 2009. 6 I HAVE LIVED IN SANTA ROSA FOR 36 YEARS. AND MY WIFE, 7 BONNIE, AND I HAVE BECAME INVESTORS IN GLR ABOUT FOUR YEARS 8 9 AGO. MY PURPOSE FOR BEING HERE IN COMMENTING ON THE CHANGE OF 10 PLEA IS IN THE HOPE THAT IN SOME WAY POSSIBLE, IN ANY WAY 11 12 POSSIBLE, TO BE PART OF THE START OF THE HUMAN PROCESS FOR ALL THAT HAS TRANSPIRED. 13 14 IN SHORT, I'M HOPEFUL THAT THE CHANGE IN PLEA CAN FINALLY JUST MAYBE BEGIN THE HEELING PROCESS FOR GLR INVESTORS AND THE 15 16 LARGER SCOTTS VALLEY COMMUNITY AND FOR JOHN AND FOR HIS FAMILY. I DON'T KNOW THE DETAILS AND NUANCES OF THE LEGAL 17 SITUATION AND HAVE NO INTEREST IN WEIGHING IN REGARDING WHAT 18 19 JOHN DID OR DIDN'T DO AND HIS LEVEL OF GUILT OR THE UNDERLYING MOTIVATIONS FOR HIS ACTIONS. I WON'T EXPLAIN MY PERSONAL HURT, 20 FINANCIAL LOSS OR PROFOUND DISAPPOINTMENT IN WHAT MY FRIEND HAS 21 22 DONE. THEY ARE LESS FINANCIAL IN NATURE AND MORE PERSONAL BUT 23 THEY ARE SUBSTANTIAL. 24 JOHN AND I FIRST MET IN COLLEGE AT CAL IN THE EARLY 1980'S 25 WHEN WE BOTH PLEDGED TO THE SAME FRATERNITY. WE QUICKLY BECAME VERY CLOSE FRIENDS.

DURING COLLEGE I KNEW JOHN AS A YOUNG MAN OF DEEP
CHARACTER AND INTEGRITY. JOHN WAS RESPECTED BY HIS FRATERNITY
BROTHERS AND TEAMMATES IN COLLEGE ATHLETICS AND WAS PUBLICLY
HONORED WITH VERY PRESTIGIOUS AWARDS CENTERED ON PERSONAL
CHARACTER.

AS LIFE GOES ON MANY OF US DEVELOP VERY MANY LEVELS OF
FRIENDSHIP BUT USUALLY PEOPLE DEVELOP ONLY A FEW VERY CLOSE
RELATIONSHIPS. MOST OF US ONLY HAVE A HANDFUL OF SUCH FRIENDS
DURING A LIFETIME.

JOHN AND I WERE LIKE THAT. BY THE TIME WE GRADUATED, JOHN AND I WERE LIKE BROTHERS.

AFTER COLLEGE I WENT THROUGH A VERY DIFFICULT PERIOD IN MY LIFE. I WAS HURT VERY DEEPLY BY OTHERS AND IN TURN HURT MANY PEOPLE.

IT WAS NOT AN EASY-TO-GET-OVER TYPE OF HURT OR TIME HEALS ALL WOUNDS KIND OF PAIN. IT WAS MAJOR HURT THAT TIME WOULDN'T CURE. I WAS VERY DISSOLUTIONED AND STUCK FOR MANY YEARS. IT WAS THAT PERIOD OF MY LIFE THAT I DEVELOPED A DEEP RESPECT FOR OTHERS WITH DEEP UNRESOLVED PERSONAL PAIN. I THINK MANY GLR INVESTORS MIGHT FIT INTO THAT CAMP TODAY.

FOR ALL OF US HERE TODAY, I HOPE JOHN'S CHANGE OF PLEA CAN

JUST MAYBE OPEN DOORS FOR FORGIVENESS, GENUINE FORGIVENESS TO

START.

FOR JOHN I TRUST YOUR CHANGE OF PLEA COMES FROM THE HEART.

1 IF SO, I HOPE YOU CAN BEGIN YOUR OWN PROCESS OF HEALING. THIS 2 IS NOT A HEARING ON THE SENTENCE PER SE, AND I HONESTLY HAVE NO OPINION ON THE SUBJECT AND WILL REPRESENT JUSTICE IN THIS 3 SITUATION. MY GREATER CONCERN IS FOR WHAT HAPPENS AFTER THE 4 5 VERDICT AND SENTENCE IS HANDED DOWN. FAITH HAS PLAYED AN ESSENTIAL PART IN BOTH OF OUR LIVES IN 6 7 COLLEGE. JOHN, I WOULD HOPE THAT YOU WOULD ACCEPT WHATEVER 8 SENTENCE IS GIVEN AS FROM GOD AND SUITABLE FOR WHAT IS BEST 9 RIGHT NOW. 10 AS IT SAYS IN PROVERBS, "THE HEART OF THE KING IS THE IN 11 HAND OF GOD. HE TURNS IT WHICHEVER WAY HE WANTS." ALTHOUGH BONNIE AND I FEEL HORRIBLY WRONGED BY YOUR 12 13 ACTIONS, KNOW THAT WHATEVER THE SENTENCE, BONNIE AND I FORGIVE YOU. WE FEEL INCREDIBLE SYMPATHY FOR THE OTHER GLR INVESTORS 14 15 WHO HAVE SUFFERED. MY HOPE AND THOUGHTS HERE TODAY HOPEFULLY 16 CAN HELP PEOPLE PROCESS THEIR PAIN AND START TO PUT THE PAST 17 BEHIND THEM. THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. 18 BRIAN KEENEY. GOOD MORNING, SIR. 19 20 IF YOU COULD PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL IT, PLEASE. 21 MR. KEENEY: BRIAN KEENEY. B-R-I-A-N, K-E-E-N-E-Y. THE COURT: THANK YOU. WHAT IS THIS THAT YOU WOULD 22 23 LIKE ME TO KNOW, SIR? 24 MR. KEENEY: THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK 25 THIS MORNING.

THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME. 1 2 MR. KEENEY: JOHN HURT US, MY FAMILY, MY WIFE AND MY TWO DAUGHTERS BADLY BOTH EMOTIONALLY AND FINANCIALLY. 3 FINANCIALLY, WE LOST NEARLY ALL OF OUR SAVINGS. 4 EMOTIONALLY, THE DAY THAT WE FOUND OUT THAT WE HAD LOST THOSE 5 SAVINGS WAS THE DUE DATE FOR MY SECOND DAUGHTER, AND MY WIFE 6 7 WAS IMMINENT WITH THIS. AND I HAVE NEVER BEEN SO TERRIFIED IN 8 MY LIFE AS TO WHEN I HAD TO HANG UP THE PHONE AND WALK OVER TO HER AND GIVE HER THIS NEWS. 9 AND THANKFULLY EVERYBODY TURNED OUT OKAY IN ALL OF THAT. 10 IT WAS A DIFFICULT BIRTH. WE'RE PART OF THE BRIDGES VERSUS 11 GERINGER AND SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BANK CIVIL SUIT, AND WE'RE 12 HOPING THAT JOHN AND THE OTHER DEFENDANTS CAN HELP OUR 13 14 ATTORNEYS FOLLOW THE MONEY AND FIGURE OUT EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED. THE PAPERWORK HAS APPARENTLY BEEN SCATTERED AT BEST. 15 AND WE HOPE THAT THE COURT CAN ALSO IMPACT SENTENCING 16 17 DEPENDING UPON THEIR LEVEL OF COOPERATION. CERTAINLY WE HOPE 18 THAT A JUST PUNISHMENT IS HANDED DOWN BUT ALSO WE HOPE FOR 19 COOPERATION AND SOME RECOVERY OF FUNDS. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: YOU'RE VERY WELCOME. THANK YOU. 21 22 ROB STUART. IS ROB STUART PRESENT? GOOD MORNING, SIR? 23 MR. STUART: GOOD MORNING. 24 25 THE COURT: IF YOU WOULD PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND

SPELL IT, PLEASE.

MR. STUART: MY NAME IS ROB STUART, S-T-U-A-R-T. I,
TOO, WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO SPEAK TODAY.

I, TOO, AM A VICTIM OF THE GLR FRAUD.

TO SAY IT'S BEEN DEVASTATING IS AN UNDERSTATEMENT. WE HAD ALL OF OUR LIFE SAVINGS, OUR KIDS' COLLEGE FUNDS, AND MY RETIREMENT MONEY WRAPPED UP IN OUR INVESTMENT THERE.

AND I'VE BEEN THROUGH SOME OF THE TOUGHEST THINGS THAT
LIFE CAN HANDLE. I LOST TWO KIDS 13 YEARS APART, WHICH WAS
DEVASTATING TO ME AND PROBABLY THE HARDEST THING I'LL EVER GO
THROUGH IN LIFE, BUT IN SOME RESPECTS THIS WAS JUST AS
DIFFICULT IN THE FACT THAT THERE WAS SOMEBODY WILLFULLY
BETRAYING US AND DEFRAUDING US.

IT'S HAD AN EMOTIONAL, FINANCIAL IMPACT ON US THAT WORDS
CAN'T DESCRIBE AND A CERTAIN SENSE OF LOSS OF INNOCENCE WHEN
HAVING TO EXPLAIN TO MY THEN SIXTH GRADE SON WHAT HAD HAPPENED
AND WHEN HE'S LOOKING AT ME AND SAYING DOES THAT MEAN I'M NOT
GOING TO GO TO COLLEGE? AND HAVING TO EXPLAIN THOSE HARD
THINGS TO YOUR KIDS IS REALLY DIFFICULT.

AS THE OTHERS SAID, I'M COMMANDED TO FORGIVE AND I WILL FORGIVE THESE PEOPLE IN TIME, BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT I DON'T WANT JUSTICE.

AND I'M ONE OF THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN A CIVIL MATTER, AND
I'M ASKING THAT YOU RESERVE YOUR JUDGMENT ON SENTENCING UNTIL
THE 11TH HOUR BASED ON THE NEXT FEW MONTHS OF COOPERATION. AND

1 WE HOPE THAT JOHN WILL CONTINUE TO COOPERATE WITH THE FEDERAL 2 ATTORNEYS AND THE ATTORNEYS IN THE CIVIL MATTER. 3 THANK YOU. THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. JACKSON SCHAEFER 4 5 JUNGER. 6 MR. JUNGER: JUNGER, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: JUNGER. THANK YOU, SIR. 7 MR. JUNGER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. IF YOU COULD PLEASE STATE 9 10 YOUR NAME AND SPELL IT, PLEASE. 11 MR. JUNGER: JACKSON SCHAEFER JUNGER. JACKSON, 12 J-A-C-K-S-O-N. SCHAEFER, S-C-H-A-E-F-E-R. JUNGER, 13 J-U-N-G-E-R. THE COURT: THANK YOU. WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO 14 15 KNOW? MR. JUNGER: FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU FOR THE 16 17 OPPORTUNITY. I'M HERE AS MYSELF AS A VICTIM AND AS WELL AS MY 18 PARENTS CARL AND DIANE SCHAEFER JUNGER. AND I PREPARED A STATEMENT THAT MAY BE BETTER ADDRESSED AT 19 20 A LATER DATE, BUT I'D LIKE TO READ THE LAST BIT. I'M NOT SURE HOW THIS WILL END UP, BUT I DO KNOW WHO IS RESPONSIBLE AND I 21 ASK THE COURT ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY FAMILY TO IMPLORE GLR 22 TO ASSIST THEIR VICTIMS IN UNDERSTANDING ON HOW THEY 23 24 PERPETRATED THIS FRAUD. WITH GLR TO ASSIST THEIR VICTIMS, I ASK THE COURT ON BEHALF OF MY FAMILY AND MYSELF TO MAXIMIZE 25

1	THEIR SENTENCE IF THEY REFUSE TO BE COOPERATIVE.
2	THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.
3	THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR.
4	IS THERE ANYONE ELSE? I THINK THAT EXHAUSTS THE LIST THAT
5	I HAVE BEFORE ME. IS THERE ANYONE ELSE WHO WISHES TO SPEAK?
6	PLEASE STAND AND COME FORWARD IF YOU WISH.
7	ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. I SEE NO OTHER RESPONDENTS.
8	ANYTHING FURTHER?
9	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE REMAINING COUNTS,
1.0	AND WE WILL BE MOVING TO DISMISS THE REMAINING COUNTS AT
11	SENTENCING BUT BECAUSE WE DON'T INTEND TO PROCEED TO TRIAL
12	AGAINST MR. GERINGER ON THE REMAINING COUNTS WE ASK THAT YOU
13	VACATE THE PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES AS TO THIS DEFENDANT.
1.4	THE COURT: THANK YOU. THOSE WILL BE VACATED. AND
15	ANY OTHER COUNTS TO THIS DEFENDANT MOTIONS TO DISMISS WILL BE
1.6	TAKEN UNDER SUBMISSION UNTIL THE TIME OF SENTENCING.
17	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME. AND THE MATTER IS SET
19	FOR SENTENCING ON OCTOBER 20TH, OCTOBER 20TH AT 1:30, AND WE'LL
20	SEE YOU THEN.
21	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU.
22	MR. WHELAN: THANK YOU.
23	(COURT CONCLUDED AT 12:10 P.M.)
2.4	
25	

1 2 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 3 5 6 I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED 7 STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 8 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY 9 10 CERTIFY: THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS 11 12 A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE 13 ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. Irene Rodriguez 14 15 16 IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8076 17 18 DATED: JUNE 11, 2014 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

1. 2	JINA L. CHOI (Admitted in New York) MICHAEL S. DICKE (Cal. Bar No. 158187) SHEILA E. O'CALLAGHAN (Cal. Bar No. 131032)		
	ocallaghans@sec.gov		
3	ROBERT J. DURHAM (Admitted to the New York Bar) durhamr@sec.gov	) ·	
4			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800		
6	San Francisco, California 94104		
7	Telephone: (415) 705-2500 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTI	RICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12		1	
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. CV12-2663 (EJD)	
14	Plaintiff,		
15	VS.		
16	GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, GLR	CONSENT OF	
17	ADVISORS, LLC, JOHN A. GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER A. LUCK and KEITH E. RODE	GLR ADVISORS, LLC	
18	Defendants		
19	and		
20	GLR GROWTH FUND, L.P.,		
21	Relief Defendant.		
22			
23		,	
24			
25			
1			
26			
27			

- 1. Defendant GLR Advisors, LLC ("Defendant") acknowledges having been served with the complaint in this action, enters a general appearance, and admits the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and over the subject matter of this action.
- 2. Without admitting or denying the allegations of the complaint (except as to personal and subject matter jurisdiction, which Defendant admits), Defendant hereby consents to the entry of the Final Judgment as to Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC in the form attached hereto (the "Final Judgment") and incorporated by reference herein, which, among other things:
  - permanently restrains and enjoins Defendant from violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a),

    Sections 10(b) and 26 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 78z, and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, and Sections 206(1), 206(2), and 206(4) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1), 80b-6(2), and 80b-6(4), and Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8;
  - (b) orders Defendant to pay disgorgement, on a joint and several basis with John

    A. Geringer, in the amount of \$2,170,589, plus prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$601,886; and
  - (c) does not impose a civil monetary penalty.
- 3. Defendant waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Defendant waives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Final Judgment.

- 5. Defendant enters into this Consent voluntarily and represents that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent.
- 6. Defendant agrees that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.
- 7. Defendant will not oppose the enforcement of the Final Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives any objection based thereon.
- 8. Defendant waives service of the Final Judgment and agrees that entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendant of its terms and conditions. Defendant further agrees to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty days after the Final Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that Defendant has received and read a copy of the Final Judgment.
- against Defendant in this civil proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that no promise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendant waives any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding, including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendant further acknowledges that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from

any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this action, Defendant understands that it shall not be permitted to contest the factual allegations of the complaint in this action.

- 10. Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegations in the complaint or order for proceedings." 17 C.F.R. § 202.5. In compliance with this policy, Defendant agrees: (i) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent, Defendant hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the complaint. If Defendant breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this paragraph affects Defendant's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.
- Defendant hereby waives any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by Defendant to defend against this action. For these purposes, Defendant agrees that Defendant is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.

1					
. 2	12. Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the Court				
3	for signature and entry without further notice.				
4	13. Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the				
5	purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.				
6					
. 7	GLR Advisors, LLC				
8	By: AG				
9	John A. Geringer, its Managing Member				
10					
12	State of California				
13	County of SANTA-CRUZ				
14	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this <b>25</b> day of <b>Accept</b> , 2014, by John A. Geringer, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before				
15	me.				
16	Notary Public Signature				
17	(Seal)				
18					
19	SAGAR M. PATEL				
20	Commission # 2036590 Notary Public - California Santa Cruz County				
21	My Comm. Expires Aug 11, 2017				
22					
23					
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>					
26					
27					
28					

1	MICHAEL S. DICKE (Cal. Bar No. 158187)			
2	ocallaghans@sec.gov			
3				
4				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800			
6	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 705-2500			
7	Facsimile: (415) 705-2501			
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
12		I		
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. CV12-2663 (EJD)		
14	Plaintiff,			
15	vs.			
16	GLR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, GLR ADVISORS, LLC, JOHN A. GERINGER,	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGEMENT AS TO		
17	CHRISTOPHER A. LUCK and KEITH E. RODE	DEFENDANTS JOHN A. GERINGER AND		
18	Defendants	GLR ADVISORS, LLC		
19	and			
20	GLR GROWTH FUND, L.P.,			
21	Relief Defendant.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

FINAL JUDGEMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS JOHN A. GERINGER AND GLR ADVISORS, LLC

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint and Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over them and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment as to Defendants John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC ("Final Judgment"); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

 $\Pi$ .

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or

- (b) while acting as an investment adviser to a pooled investment vehicle:
  - (1) making any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, to any investor or prospective investor in the pooled investment vehicle; or
  - (2) otherwise engaging in any act, practice, or course of business that is fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative with respect to any investor or prospective investor in the pooled investment vehicle.

V.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 26 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78z] by making or causing to be made, to any prospective purchaser or seller of a security any representation that any action or failure to act by the Commission or the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in the administration of the Exchange Act shall be construed to mean that the particular authority has in any way passed upon the merits of, or given approval to, any security or any transaction or transactions therein, or any action or failure to act with regard to any statement or report filed with or examined by such authority pursuant to the Exchange Act or rules and regulations thereunder, be deemed a finding by such authority that such statement or report is true and accurate on its face or that it is not false or misleading.

VI.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants are liable, on a joint and several basis, for disgorgement of \$2,170,589, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$601,886, for a total of \$2,772,475. Defendants' payment of disgorgement and prejudgment interest shall be deemed satisfied upon the entry of an order requiring Defendant John

A. Geringer to pay restitution and/or forfeiture in *United States v. John Geringer*, Crim. No. 12-CR-2 00888 EJD. VII. 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, solely for purposes of 4 5 exceptions to discharge set forth in Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523, the allegations in the Complaint are true and admitted by Defendants, and further, any debt for 6 disgorgement, prejudgment interest, civil penalty or other amounts due by Defendants under this 7 Judgment or any other judgment, order, consent order, decree or settlement agreement entered in 8 connection with this proceeding, is a debt for the violation by Defendants of the federal securities 9 laws or any regulation or order issued under such laws, as set forth in Section 523(a)(19) of the 10 Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19). 11 VIII. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Consents of Defendant 13 John A. Geringer and GLR Advisors, LLC are incorporated herein with the same force and effect as 14 if fully set forth herein, and that Defendants shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements 15 16 set forth therein. IX. 17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain 18 jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment. 19 20 X. 21 There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil 22 Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and without further notice. 23 , 2014 Dated: 24 25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 26 27 28